PRESIDENT'S LETTER - EDITOR RESIGNS

"Immature and Prejudiced"

SIR,-It was with considerable disappointment that I read your editorial in the issue June 20th, commenting, as it does, an immature outburst of prejudice. My suggestion is to refer to your editorial and express yourself to the members of the Executive in a manner that will allow you to discuss and consider your comments in a rational manner.

As one of those responsible for appointing you to your present position, on the basis of your professional and personal qualities, I feel that the comments made in your editorial lack objectivity and fairness. As an editor, I strive to ensure that my editorial comments are based on facts and evidence, and I believe that it is important to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

The fact that your editorial comments are based on personal opinions and reactions, rather than on objective analysis, is of concern to me. As an editor, I believe it is important to be open to different perspectives and to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

Moreover, I believe that it is important to consider the impact that editorial comments have on the individuals and groups that are affected by them. As an editor, I strive to ensure that my editorial comments are based on facts and evidence, and I believe that it is important to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

SIR,-I am sure that you will agree with me that the comments made in your editorial lack objectivity and fairness. As an editor, I strive to ensure that my editorial comments are based on facts and evidence, and I believe that it is important to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

The fact that your editorial comments are based on personal opinions and reactions, rather than on objective analysis, is of concern to me. As an editor, I believe it is important to be open to different perspectives and to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

More To Come

SIR,-As we will probably be canvassing candidates for the forthcoming election, I want to make it clear that I will not be running for re-election. I believe that it is important to consider the impact that editorial comments have on the individuals and groups that are affected by them. As an editor, I strive to ensure that my editorial comments are based on facts and evidence, and I believe that it is important to consider the views of others before forming an opinion.

DEAR MR. HORSEY...

WHEN the time came to write my editorial I thought that I had chosen my subject. I was going to write on the duties of Execu members as they appeared to me outside the classroom. I never thought for a minute that the President of the Students' Association was going to write to "Salient" and provide me with a letter that must (willy nilly) be the subject of my editorial. The letter—you see it opposite—gave me much food for thought, both on my own deficiencies and on those of the Executive. My first inclination was to agree with Mr. Horsey on most of what he wrote, but then I paused and wondered whether or not my intention to provoke a salient editorial in the last issue had achieved its purpose. It had indeed stimulated the person criticized into making a response, but there were no letters from others saying how right or wrong I was. When I called the Executive "insensitive to the point of incompetency." Being a trifle more practical than Mr. Horsey would admit, I thought that it would be best to postpone my editorial again making the Execut and defend myself against the trenchless onslaughts of Mr. Horsey.

I am "immature"—yes, that I admit. It is a psychological and physiological fact that I cannot alter. However, I cannot understand the Executive's definition of my immaturity and the likelihood of my writing "immature outburts," appointed to my present position. Therefore I will give the Executive a chance to remedy its defective judgment—I resign the editorship of "Salient" as from Monday the 9th. And obviously since my position, to which Mr. Horsey helped appoint me, "is being abused," and since I am prejudiced against law students—according to Mr. Horsey, I am not a fit person to be editor of "Salient." My immaturity, my abuse of my position, and my prejudice are sufficient grounds, it may be, for my dismissal. Of course, if I were to be dismissed the Executive would have to prove my prejudice, etc., so I have given it the chance to do things the easy way.

A few remarks on Mr. Horsey's letter. I am not prejudiced against law students and I consider it important to anyone to acme us of prejudice when I point out the failings of any group in the College. The editor of "Salient" at all times is impartial and unbiased. I think Mr. Horsey was acting unethically when he brought in the question of the proposed literary issue of "Salient" to test the question as to whether the Executive Committee for consideration should have not been mentioned in this context. The question of whether immaturity and enthusiasm have a place on the Executive I leave open. This is a personal opinion and I would be pleased to hear from others on this point.

However, I will never stand by and see idealism and enthusiasm in student affairs knocked on the head and trampled underfoot by a flabby Executive.

T. H. HILL.
WHO IS STEWART?
THE MYSTERY MEETING

An event expected to have a tremendous impact on student life at V.U.C. will occur this term. It is the visit from Australia of an outstanding scholar whose study has covered a wide range of knowledge likely to be of interest to all students and staff. Under the sponsorship of the Inter-Varsity Fellowship the Rev. David Stewart, M.Sc., B.A., B.D., will be visiting the College from June 27 to July 4.

It is important that in our college we have not, as the great universities such as Cambridge, Oxford or London have, a department concentrating on theological study. Although our various study groups and activities arrive to remedy this discrepancy, they do not have the benefit of a resident authoritative section whose students centre round theological research. This causes a lack of balance in our scholarship in which our scientific and philosophical research becomes narrow and unqualified by the study of men's minds from the earliest ages, from the common man to the greatest intellect. Mr. Stewart's visit is hoped to stimulate further interest among each student an interest in widening his thought to this full education rather than a restricted field of study.

Mr. Stewart's visit will also give students plenty of incentive to find out for themselves where they stand in religious belief. Besides helping to broaden student's attitude toward their study it is hoped that this event will help those who have never felt the need to think on their position as to what or what they believe, to clarify their ideas. There is little room in University life for those students of V.U.C. to come to their masters screech of religion, whose ideas of rationalism is the finding of facts in support of what they already believe and who turn their eyes from argument to discover the truth thereof. In the broad and profound political thinking the student without a balanced and crystallized attitude in very likely to be overcome by easily formed political theories or superficially acceptable philosophies which carry him away into a blind shell of impervious, restricted intellect whose may be pleasing but certainly not worthy of the name of scholarship.

David Stewart is a graduate of both Sydney and Melbourne Universities and has included in his study fields of life and in many subjects. In 1932 he caught the Melbourne University award for mathematics and gained a first class Honours in physics. He was for some time in the Research into short wave transmission in the South Pacific. From Sydney he was advanced to his Ph.D. in the extension of edge of education. Also in his wide scholarship Mr. Stewart gained a Bachelor of Divinity degree in 1940 after extra mural study in Theology.

David Stewart is an ordained minister and served in churches around Sydney before travelling to Singapore with the China Island Mission. He arrived in time to see the "shock wave" of the Singapore campaign. In November, 1945. After two years service as a chaplain during the war, he returned to Sydney. He has been in the Church since 1945. His return he has been in the University of Brisbane, Sydney, Melbourne and other places.

In the May vacation V.U.C. students and staff heard the Rev. Stewart at the annual conference of the N.Z. Inter-Varsity Fellowship at Oamaru. They found him a vitally interesting person in student subjects. He was extremely easy to talk to any subject and the students relished a challenge to talk to any subject and the students relished a challenge. As a member of the Fellowship he has a compact and self-sufficient style of debating. Mr. Stewart is an excellent debater, solidly constructed in an unassuming and erudite style making

his lectures fascinating and thought provoking.

At his meetings Mr. Stewart will give a talk centered on one topic on what matter their stage of scholarship the students may be at.

With such subjects as "Rahab, The Harlot of Jericho", "Apostle or Apostle?", "The Prophets and Kings of the O.T." and "God Four Times" we can be assured that Mr. Stewart will stimulate students for earnest discussion and open thought. Besides his made lunch hour meetings in B.I.C., each day of the week, possibly with specific

families, David Stewart will be available for informal rent and discussions over a cup of tea or in personal conversations with that individual student.

CURIOSITY has been aroused lately about the impending visit of the person known as David Stewart. For people outside of the office of the E.U., it seemed to know nothing about him. This defect is remedied here with an article supplied by the Evangelical Union which tells exactly what David Stewart is. We hope that when he arrives you will find him to meet the College with which the E.U. have favored.—Ed.

DEBATING SOCIETY
LITTLE THEATRE
This Friday
at 8 p.m.

Watch Notice Board for News of this Debate which will be a "Visitors' Debate".

PLUNKET MEDAL
To be held on July 5th
Subject:
ANY CHARACTER IN HISTORY should be handed in to the Secretary without delay.

CATHOLIC STUDENTS' GUILD
SOCIAL BRAINS QUIZ
BUFFET TEA
WOMEN'S LEAGUE ROOMS
Lower Parramatta St.

Next Sunday
JUNE 15

(Continued on Page 3)
COMMUNISM AND POPYERY
A DEBATE REPORT AT LAST

The ambiguous decision that Communism was not a new form of government but a small majority at a Little Theatre debate on May 2. There was an air of suspense around 90. The night was cold and most of the spectators had been cold acclimated by H.G. Wells. When the debate was finally held, the questions were not limited to the obvious debate that was going on. The audience was divided, but the majority was in favor of the Liberals. The debate was a success, in fact, in some cases it was a failure. The Liberals were divided into two camps: those who supported the policy of the party and those who opposed it. The latter group was led by Sir John Morley. He revealed that Jowett had, in the language of the role, moved to the middle of the stage. He declared that the Liberals had abandoned the policy of the party and he believed that the party should continue to support it.

The debate was a success, in fact, in some cases it was a failure. The Liberals were divided into two camps: those who supported the policy of the party and those who opposed it. The latter group was led by Sir John Morley. He revealed that Jowett had, in the language of the role, moved to the middle of the stage. He declared that the Liberals had abandoned the policy of the party and he believed that the party should continue to support it.

VARIETY RUN THIRD

T. V.arsky Harmer came third in the race from Wellington to Masterton on the 15. This was probably the most noted and successful of the stagings. The temperatures were too cold for the race, and the weather conditions were atrocious.
At 9 o'clock last Saturday morning the Wellington-Masterton relay began in pouring rain. Mike Treasure ran the first leg for V.T.E.C. along the Hutt road into the wind and rain, and finished second, about 15 yards behind the Scottish runner, Ross Hillman. He took over and followed closely behind Scottish through Hutt until, about a mile from the finisher at Taia, he went to the front and led by about 40 yards. Ross Hillman ran as we had expected and increased our lead to nearly 200 yards. During this lap, Miss Leon's hammer throw was seen on television. She followed it up in third place. Between Upper Hutt and Wairarapa, the V.T.E.C. girls kept well up with Scottish in thehm comfortably ahead of the third team.

It was in the fifth leg, over the Kaitoke hill, that Scottish really revealed itself as the one high point of the race miles ahead of everybody else. For us, Horomai Belyea, who was running along with the girls, to show up in third place after two minutes ahead of Miss, who were still in third place. John Halliday led the runners to finish the stage in third place. It was a great achievement for John Halliday.

The Mayer welcomed us to Masterton. He gave us the best welcome, in fact, at least I think that what we were telling anyone, we were saying, anyway. And we told him that V.T.E.C. did so well. Everyone in the team and as well as we expected and increased our lead to nearly 200 yards. The one disappointment was the number of boys who were in the team. We had them with a yellow V on the front. If we had them there would have been no lack of spirit, and everyone was feeling the heat. The debate was a success, in fact, in some cases it was a failure. The Liberals were divided into two camps: those who supported the policy of the party and those who opposed it. The latter group was led by Sir John Morley. He revealed that Jowett had, in the language of the role, moved to the middle of the stage. He declared that the Liberals had abandoned the policy of the party and he believed that the party should continue to support it.

The Mayer welcomed us to Masterton. He gave us the best welcome, in fact, at least I think that what we were telling anyone, we were saying, anyway. And we told him that V.T.E.C. did so well. Everyone in the team and as well as we expected and increased our lead to nearly 200 yards. The one disappointment was the number of boys who were in the team. We had them with a yellow V on the front. If we had them there would have been no lack of spirit, and everyone was feeling the heat.

The Mayer welcomed us to Masterton. He gave us the best welcome, in fact, at least I think that what we were telling anyone, we were saying, anyway. And we told him that V.T.E.C. did so well. Everyone in the team and as well as we expected and increased our lead to nearly 200 yards. The one disappointment was the number of boys who were in the team. We had them with a yellow V on the front. If we had them there would have been no lack of spirit, and everyone was feeling the heat.

Table Tennis

Championships

A PLEASING feature of this season’s championships is the large number of entries. Student apathy must have passed this club by.

The main interest in the men’s singles will be centred on the performances of B. Jones and G. Cullen against the two A Grade players A. Darroch and A. Robinson, of the Tupa Club. Judging by their recent form, this match appears to be the likely winner.

In the women’s matches the more seasoned players, K. Lemon and V. Fleming will be severely tested by M. Berry. Miss Perry possesses one angle which her opponents find uncomfortable when playing Wellington club table tennis. If present form is retained the women’s doubles final should be decided between A. Darroch and A. Robinson of the Tupa Club, and Miss Perry and Miss Grubb. Miss Perry and Miss Grubb would fully extend if not defeat the two Tupa representatives.
In the women’s and mixed doubles, with little pre-championship play, the competition appears to be much more open.
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The Season So Far in Retrospect

THE HEAVY rain during this week, which continued until early Saturday, made the parks, in the opinion of our highly respected city fathers, unfit for play. As the day progressed one was inclined to question the wisdom of their decision.

Perhaps excessive faith in the accuracy of the meteorological office, hardly understandable in Wellington, caused them to reach the verdict they did. Whatever their reasons their decision has given Wellington people yet another excuse for the display of the representative team on the heavy ground at Palmerston North.

It has afforded us however, with an opportunity to do a little stocktaking. Up to date the senior fifteen has performed creditably. With one final game against the chances of bringing the Jubilee Cup "up the hill" seem brighter than in any previous season. Whether the team succeeds or not is subordinate to the fact that they are playing bright football.

The British team showed to what extent N.Z. Rugby had deteriorated since the war. On you bost them, but—how many times have Rugby administrators wept over our advent? Only once before in N.Z. has a team's success been lamented so deeply—when Wellington beat the "Invincibles" in 1908.

This has shown that open football, although it may not be winning foot ball, but it has lost its recognition. Comment on Burke and Glenbrook for the contribution they are making to Wellington Rugby. Even great composure must go to the players who have implemented in practice what others have developed in theory.

Having finished our general survey let us now move to the personalities. Five wins, one loss. The ban taught us more than any number of the victories. It showed the necessity of the possession of the ball. It also showed that our forwards make up for little of the weight. Agreed, the forwards must be greater the final thrust of the strain of fighting against weight. Demand great heart and a general desire to win.

The forwards against Palmer showed that they were not a bad equal of any ordinary club pack. In this instance they displayed their superiority. In past play they have proved themselves superior to any team they have so far encountered.

Much has been written about the season but it has been uninteresting. With regard to the star players anything could say, would be mere repetition. But a few ideas could be forwarded concerning the remnant of the backs.

Parker, with the added protection he has been receiving, has shown himself to be a competent club half-back.

The play of Hosley at first sight may yet persuade the Wellington selectors to include another University player in the representative team. However, a word of warning, for a first trial never caught in a position with a three-quarter line. Often out on this occasion from last Saturday's game that Hosley may be falling into that error. He must maintain a balance between being a good point protector, and to be aggressive, which would deprive his three-quarter line of protection.

Although overshadowed by Jorden, and there is not, many wags who would not be, Miller has this season played good football. A sound defender, if anything, is to the side. But his biggest contribution to the team's success lies, I think, in his backing up of Osborne. When tackling on his own ground, or where possible, taking the common mistake of tackling, well, and allowing his man to find the ball with his hand. He has an immense occasion succeeded in smothering the player, ball and all.

All-round Osborne has lacked confidence. This is more likely than any injury he sustained last season. As a consequence he has been playing early fast leg. As regards future prospects much depends on the form of the game against Wellington. If the team with itself holds its form, we have no doubt that our chances in the Jubilee Cup will be enhanced considerably.

The first half was not to the liking of many of the spectators. It was still to come and to culminate in a phrase which is the result of the game. The last of the implications and whether you win or lose "hit the nail on the head."