agreed with the Chairman's ruling but the ruling was upheld. Discussion then took place allegedly on the amendment but there was no perceptible difference in tone or substance.

"I am not a Communist, I have not been a Communist and I never intend to be one," said Sir Taylor, ex-President of the Association. "As the movers of the motion claim to be supporters of democracy" he hoped for a fair hearing. This he did not get, due to the noisy cheers of groups. The Exec was wrong - all Execs are sometimes wrong even the most reactionary. "Anybody who has run the affairs of the Association is, by Kurok slowly disintegrating into madness". He mentioned the responsibilities of Tournament N.Z.U.S.A. remits, and Extrav - all these would have to be organised by the "caretaker exec."

Mr. McIvor said that the Exec was trying to crawl out. They had abused their privilege. (This to the accompaniment of cheers, hear, hear etc.) There was clarification of a point here and it was admitted, cosily, by McIvor that he was talking about Mr. Skinner.

Mr. E. Poutai pointed out, despite strong and vocal opposition, that the Debating Club was after all only one activity of students in the College.

Mr. C. Malcom: said that we had lost the confidence of the Auckland Students, the Otago Students (int. "two well-known progressive bodies") and the City Fathers. He moved that the monument be put. It was and was lost. Then the motion was put and passed. Mr. Ian McDowell took the Chair on the motion of the house.

Mr. O'Connor then moved as a motion a full list of names of nominees for the "caretaker exec". It was pointed out by Mr. Warner that this would give no opportunity for democratic nominations and votes. Mr. O'Brien pointed out that this would cause trouble and mean preferential voting. Amidst roars of approval it was decided to vote on the motion.

Miss Michael said that "in view of the feeling at this meeting" she would withdraw from nomination. Miss Casey who was then nominated declined nomination and Miss Webley was appointed to the vacant position. The following is the list (plus Miss Webley) proposed by Mr. O'Connor and voted in "in toto":

Pres: C. Webley
Vice-Pres: *J. Batterby  "Miss McKenzie"
Sec.: *K. O'Brien
Corr: *D. Brown  "Miss C Langford"
       B. Lewellyn  "L Leakester"
       C. Malcom  "L Webley"
       S. McLellan  "J Robbins"

*Members of the retired Exec.

Mr. O'Brien suggested that these people would be most acceptable as they comprised many who had never been on anything in the College.

The meeting then closed.

"Silent" does not apologise for the front page being upside down.

Apparently even the Gerber has been affected.

"Silent" is being brought out by a small number of the staff so that you may have a report before Easter. It is now the small hours of the morning.
EDITORIAL COMMENT

Salient Editors are usually interested in the effects of journalism and pamphleteering. Last night's meeting was a psychologist's paradise, for among the 1,000 students who "deliberated" on the question, of the right of our democratically elected representatives to our confidence, there was a large body who reflected the current highly-emotional atmosphere created by our daily press. There is a witch-hunt against Communism and anything which can be labelled Red.

How many of the howling mob who voted against censure and for no-confidence are interested in the affairs of the Executive and those of the Association? Their sole objective was to scalp a "Communist controlled" Executive, not on the basis of their executive ability, but on political issues.

There are many who believed that they judged the case on its own merits but where were the speakers who could contrast the handling of our affairs by our late representatives with that of previous Executives? Where were the speakers who could put the relative importance of the resoundance motion alongside the very positive contributions of that body to student affairs? Only one speaker had the chance to attempt it and he had a rough time of it. The gag motion of closure was put before the meeting long before the real case at issue had been discussed.

If this is the way student opinion is to be gauged then the University is no longer a bastion of reason.

W.J.C.

INGENUOUS QUESTIONS:

Dear Mr. Editor: Will you please tell me how an Executive which has two R.Catholics, four S.C. Marx, a couple of Socialists, etc. be Communist controlled?

2. How is it that a member of the Executive who did not vote for the resoundance of the obnoxious Exec. motion was obviously chief cheer leader of those passing the vote of no confidence?

5. The Executive was exhorted on all sides to take notice of what the Students want and yet when they did take due note of popular opinion and resound the motion they were not thanked but accused of all sorts of low motives. How can this be?

4. How was it that people with such high democratic ideals that they wanted their opinion stated as that of the College found themselves unable to stand the idea of a different opinion being stated at the meeting?

5. WHY?

FUZZED.

Once upon a time there was a girl called Little Red Riding Hood. One day when she was taking a basket of goodies to her Grandmother through the wood she met a nasty wolf, who asked her where she was going. She told him. The wolf went ahead and ate up Grandmother. Then Red Riding Hood got there she looked at her Granma in surprise. (Of course we know that it really wasn't her Granma at all - don't we?) Said R.R.H. "What big eyes you have Granma?" (and as R.R.H's vocabulary was rather restricted she repeated this about the ears, feet etc. until finally she said "Granma what big teeth you have". "All the better to eat you with" said the wolf and jumped out of bed and ate her up.